PerceptuallyBasedImageComparisonMethod

SergeyI.Titov Moscow,Russia

Abstract

Inthisworkanewperceptuallybasedmethodofimage comparisonisproposed. It is based on the colour comparison in a perceptually uniform colour space CIELuv, and usin gContrast Sensitivity Function to modify colour comparison thresholds, provided by CIELuv space.

Thismethodcanbeusedtomeasureimagedistortionincaseof lossyimagecompression, and steering image generation.

Keywords: Perceptually based image comparison, perceptually uniform colour space, contrast sensitivity function.

1. INTRODUCTION

Inthisworkweintroducenewimagecomparisonmethod.Itis basedontheperceptuallybasedcolourcomparisonand modellingeyeperceptionofthenon -uniformimagesw ith contrastsensitivityfunction.

Imagecomparisoniswidelyusedinmanyareas.Itisusedin imagesearchengines,indatabasesinsystemsQueryby Example.Thisarearequiresveryhighperformance(lessthan10 ³secperimage),butcomparisoncanbep rettyrough,andshould insensitivetoimageshears,tilts,androtations.

Anotherareaiscomparisoninrenderingsystemsandimage qualitycontrolincompressionsystems. Thesetasksdonotneed sohardtimerestrictions, butthey requiremuch more preci comparison, that can be sensitive to she ars and rotations of the image. These tasks also need detection of a reas, where images look different.

Thegoalofmyworkwastocreateimagecomparisonmethod, thatcouldbeusedtocontrolimagecompressionqua lity,andto increaseperformanceofrenderingalgorithms. Special requirements were following:

- thismethodshouldgivecorrectresultsforanydisplay;
- itshoulddetectareas, whereimages are noticeably different;
- itshouldprovidegeneralcharacteristi cofimage dissimilarity.

1.1 Background

Several works were made last years in this area. In one of them, by Gaddipattietal [1] it was proposed to select perceptually important elements of the image and to pay most attention to comparing of these elements. I twas also proposed in this work to use Contrast Sensitivity Function (CSF) to compute saliency values, that could be compared using MSE metric. Also it was shown in this work, why MSE metric can't be applied for direct image comparison.

Anotherwork,byN eumannetal.[2]proposedtocomparemean coloursinrandomrectangles.TheyusedCIEXYZspacefor

meancolourcomputationandCIELuvspaceforcolour comparison. Thesizeofrectangleswasarandomvalue, distributedaccordingtoCSF, sothatcommonsi zecorresponded tothemaximumsensitivityoftheeye. Anotherideadescribedin thispaperdealtwithimagedistortionmeasurement. It was proposed, that only areas, where the difference is noticeable should influence total image difference

Onemoreartic le,byRammasubramianietal[3],describesa renderingsystem,thatusesimagecomparisontoincrease productivity. Theyproposetocomputemaximumluminosity deviation,thatstillproducesunnoticeableimagedistortion. The onlydrawbackofthissystemi sthatittakesintoaccountjust luminosityfluctuations, and does not usecolour information.

1.2 Theconceptofthemethod

Theideaoftheproposedmethodisbasedonthemodelofhuman visualsystem(HVS),thatusescontrastsensitivityfunctionfor correctcolourcomparison,andFFTforspatialfrequency computation. Themodelisdescribedinpart2. Usingthismodel, theimagesarecompared and the Visible Error Mapisgenerated. The element of this maps how swhether colours of corresponding pixels lookd ifferent. The general characteristic of image dissimilarity is obtained during processing of this map, that is described in part 3.

2. HUMANVISUALSYSTEM MODELLING

Thegoalofmodellinghumanvisualsystem(HVS)wasto providecorrectandaccuratecolourco mparison,thatshouldbe independentfromthetypeofdisplay,andimageuniformity.

Theproposed HVS model consists of two parts. The first part provides device - independent correct colour reproduction, and the second part compensates the impact of the image on - uniformity, modifying colour comparison thresholds

2.1 ColourReproduction

Thefirstproblemweencountered, was a problem of correct colour reproduction and colour comparison. It appeared because phosphors in different monitors have different emission spectra. Therefore one colour (defined in RGB space) can look different on different monitors. To solve this problem we used perceptually uniform colour space CIEL uv. It is derivative space from the standard colour space CIEXYZ, and therefore provides device-independent correct colour reproduction.

Since it is perceptually uniform space, the distance between colours may be obtained by formula:

$$\Delta E_{Luv} = \sqrt{\Delta L^2 + \Delta u^2 + \Delta v^2} \,, \tag{1}$$

where Δ –difference between corresponding components.

Thereare also2thresholdsdefinedinthisspace. They help to determine whether one cannotice difference between two colours. If the distance is lower than 1, than colours look like each other. If the distance is greater than 3, than difference can be easily not iced. If the distance is between 1 and 3, than difference between colours is very small, and can be noticed only at good viewing conditions.

Tolearnmoreaboutcolourspaceconversion, seebookby David Travis[4] or Poynton's Colour FAQ[5].

2.2 Thresholdco mputation

The problem with colour comparison thresholds appears because they were determined for "ideal" cases, where the spot of one colour was painted on the background colour. In real cases there are many factors, that impair a bility to distinguish colours. One of the main factors is the dependence of the eyes ensitivity from the uniformity of the image.

This effect can be modeled with a Contrast Sensitivity Function.

2.2.1 ContrastSensitivityFunction

Contrastsensitivityfunctionshowstheratiobetweenper cepted contrastoftheimage,andrealcontrastoftheimage,asa functionofthespatialfrequencyoftheimage.

IfLisanamplitudeofaperiodicsignal,thanit'scontrastequals

to:
$$C = \frac{L_{\max} - L_{\min}}{L_{\max} + L_{\min}} = \frac{\Delta L_{peak}}{L_{mean}} \approx \frac{\Delta L_{peak}}{L_{background}}$$

Spatialfrequencyofaperiodicsignalequa lstothenumberof cycles,thatonecanseeundertheangleof1visualdegree.

Thereforeitcanbeusedasameasureofimagenon -uniformity.

Wedecidedtousecontrastsensitivityfunction,proposedby
MannosandSacrison[6],sinceitisoneofthemos tpopular
CSFs,usedincontemporaryworks.

TheirCSFcanbecomputedbyfollowingformula:

$$CSF(f) = 2.6 \cdot (0.0192 + 0.144 \cdot f) \cdot \exp(-(0.144 \cdot f)^{1.1})$$

Ithasmaximumatpoint7.9andreachesvalueabout0.98. Thereforeitrequiresnormalization, when using it as a weight coefficient (see formula 4).

2.2.2 SpatialFrequencyComputation

Sincedefinitionsofthecontrastandspatialfrequencyweregiven forperiodicsignals, weneedtorepresentimageasasumof periodicsignalstouseCSF. The simplest periodic signals are sine and cosine. Therefore it was decided to use discrete Fourier transform. To increase effectiveness the algorithm of Fast Fourier Transform was used. As FFT is not local transform, to get local features of the image, it was subdivided into overlapping squares, and the FFT was a plied to each square. The size of the square was selected so, that CSF could reach values between 1 and 0.5.

CSFwasdevelopedtomeasurecontrastperception. If we want to use CSF to adopt colour comparison thresholds, then spatial frequency should reflect distortion, produced by fluctuations of u and v components of Luvspace. It is proposed that FFT should be applied to the array of values:

$$E_{Luv} = \sqrt{L^2 + u^2 + v^2} \ .$$

Tocomputethespatialfrequency, we need to estimate the influence of each frequency of the percepted non -uniformity of the image. The influence depends on the amplitude, and the eye sensitivity to this frequency. Thus we can write a formula for the spatial frequency:

$$F_{sp} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j} \frac{f_{r_{i,j}}}{l} \cdot cpd \cdot A_{ij} \cdot W_{ij} \text{ ,where}$$
 (2)

- $f_{r_{ij}}$ [cycles/square] -radialfrequenc ycorrespondingto X_{ii} FFTcoefficient;
- A_{ij} –realpartoftheX _{ij}FFTcoefficient;
- $\begin{array}{ll} \bullet & W_{ij} \ -\text{weightcoefficient,thatshowstheinfluenceof} \\ each frequency to the perceptable image non \\ & -\text{uniformity:} \end{array}$
- l[pixels] -squareside,towhichFFTisapplied;
- cpd[pixel /degree] -cycle/pixeltocycle/degree conversioncoefficient;
- N -thiscoefficientiscomputedfromtheequation:

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} \cdot W_{ij} = 1$$

The radial frequency, corresponding to FFT coefficient is computed by the formula:

$$f_{r_{\!i,j}} = \sqrt{f_{h_{\!i}}^{\,2} + f_{v_{\!j}}^{\,2}}$$
 ,where

 f_{h_i} and f_{v_j} -arehorizontal and vertical frequencies corresponding to X $_{ij}$ FFT coefficient.

Thecpdvalueiscomputedaccordingtoformula:

$$cpd = \frac{360}{\pi} \cdot \arctan\left(\frac{\sqrt{w_p^2 + h_p^2}}{2D}\right), \text{where}$$
 (3)

- D[cm]isadistancebetweeneyeandd isplays
- $\begin{tabular}{ll} \bullet & h_p, and w & $p[cm]$ are height and width of the pixel respectively; \end{tabular}$

 $\label{thm:produced} The weight coefficient W \qquad _{ij} shows human eyes ensitivity to fluctuations, produced by FFT frequency. Therefore it is equal to:$

$$W_{ij} = NormCSF\left(\frac{f_{r_{i,j}}}{l} \cdot cpd\right), \tag{4}$$

where NormCSF(x) =
$$\frac{\text{CSF}(x)}{\max_{y \in [0:\infty)} \text{CSF}(y)}$$
 -isanormalised

ContrastSensitivityFunction.

2.2.3 ThresholdComputation

Afterthecomputation of the spatial frequency it becomes possible to compute colour comparison thresholds. We applied CSF for this job.

 $\label{eq:sinceCSF} SinceCSF shows the ratio between percepted image contrast and real image contrast. Thus, to determine whether one can distinguish 2 colours, it is required to multiply the distance between colours by the CSF value, and to compare this value with thresholds 1 and 3. So it is more convenient to use as a first threshold at point (i,j) the value Taj, and as a second threshold 3 Tij$

$$T_{ij} = \frac{1}{CSF(F_{sp\,ij})}, \text{ where}$$
 (5)

 F_{spij} -spatialfrequencyatpoint(i,j);

AllvaluesT _{ij}foroneimagegiveusindividualthresholdmap.To getjointthresholdmapof 2imagesweshouldtakeminimalof2 thresholdsfromindividualmaps,becauseitcorrespondsthe highereyesensitivity.

Atthisstagewecancomputevisibleerrormap,thatshows whetherimageslookdifferentatparticularpoint. The element of the Visible Errormap equals to the distance between colours, if it exceeds value T ii, and is zero otherwise.

3. VISIBLEERRORMAPPR OCESSING

Following the ideas, described in [3], we propose to use as a general characteristic of image dissimilarity mean value of visible errormap.

$$MVE = \frac{1}{H \cdot W} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq W} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq H} \Delta E_{Luv \, i,j} \cdot I_{i,j}$$
 ,where

 $H,W\ -height and width of the image respectively;$

 $\Delta E_{Luv\,i,j}$ -distancebetweencoloursofpixels(i,j)(see formula 1)

$$I_{i,j} = \left\{ \begin{aligned} 1 \ : \ \Delta E_{\mathit{Luv}\,i,j} > T_{i,j} \\ 0 \ : \ \Delta E_{\mathit{Luv}\,i,j} \leq T_{i,j} \end{aligned} \right.$$

T_{ij} -elementofajointthresholdmap

Thisallows to eliminate the influence of the areas, where images are different, but the difference is unnoticeable. It also allows to suppress the influence of the isolated pixels with noticeably different colours, when their perception is limited by the resolution power of the eye.

 $The experiments showed that the difference between images becomes noticeable, when MVE becomes greater than 0.8 \\ equation -0.9 for grayscale images, and 1.2 \\ equation -1.4 for colour ones.$

Anotherwaytopresentresultsofcomparisonistovisualize VisibleErr orMap.

According to the properties of Luv colour space, the image was separated into three areas. The first area, where visible error was lower than T ij, was painted with black. The second, where visible error was between thresholds, was painted with gree n(gray in

printversion). And the third, where the error was greater than $3T_{ij}$, was painted with red (white in printversion)

4. CONCLUSIONANDFUTUR EWORK

Proposedmethodw asimplementedinanimagecomparison program, and showed both, precise image compari son, and good performance. Highenough to use it in image compression systems, and rendering systems.

Thisprogramprovideduserfriendlyinterfaceforsettingup viewingconditions, and visualimage comparison. It was used in the project, devoted to the development of a new loss yimage compression method.

The examples of image comparison are presented in appendix 1.

Itseemstobeimportantinfuture, totakeintoaccountthat resolutionpower of the eye is limited. Ihopethis should solve a problem of isolated pixels with different colours. Another research directions are experiments with different Contrast Sensitivity Functions, described in [7].

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported in part by the Intel Corporation.

IamgratefultoProf.YuriBaya kovsky,whotoldmeaboutthis area,andprovidedmewithrequiredpapers,andLeonid Levkovich,AntonPereberin,andothermembersofMSUMedia Labformanydiscussionsandconstantsupportofmywork.

EspeciallyIwanttothankKonstantinKolchinfordis cussions, andmanyadvises,hegavemeatallstagesofmywork.

6. REFERENCES

[1]MaheshRamasubramanian,SumantaPattanaik,Donald Greenberg"APerceptuallyBasedPhysicalErrorMetricfor RealisticImageSynthesis"//SIGGRAPH -99Proceedings.

[2]AjeetkumarGaddip atti,RaghuMachiraju,RoniYagel
"SteeringImageGenerationwithWaveletBasedPerceptual
Metric"//EuroGraphics -97,Volume16,Number3,pp.C -241 -

[3]LaszloNeumann, KresimirMatkovic, WernerPurgathofer "PerceptionBasedColourImageDifference"/ /EuroGraphics'98 Vol.17(98)#3pp.233 -241.

[4] DavidTravis "EffectiveColourDisplays. Theoryandpractice" //pp.89 -100; AcademicPress 1991ISBN0 -12-697690-2.

[5]CharlesPoyntonFAQonColourandGamma// http://198.53.144.31/~poynton/ColorFAQ.html http://198.53.144.31/~poynton/GammaFAQ.html

[6]J.L.Mannos,D.J.Sakrison "TheEffectsofVisualFidelity CriterionontheEncodingofImages"//IEEETransactionson InformationTheoryIT -20(4)1974,pp.525 -536.

[7]G.C.Higgins "ImageQualityCriteria"//Journalof Applied PhotographicEngineering.19773(2),pp.53 -60

Abouttheauthor

 $Sergey Titov is a postgraduate student of Computational \\ Mathematics and Cybernetics Dept., MSU$

E-mail: tit@motor.ru

Аннотачия

В этой наботе пнедлагается новый метод снавнения изобнажений, учитывающий особенности человеческого воспниятия. Для этого используется адаптация поногов назличимости чветов в пностнанстве СІЕСи с помощью Функции чувствительности контнаста.

Предложенный метод может быть использован для управления синтезом изображений по геометрической модели, а также для контроля качества изображений, при сжатии изображений с потерями.

7. APPENDIX1.EXAMPLES







Original (left), 12 times compressed ``Portrait" imag

e, and visualization of the Visible Error Map (right).

MVE -2.76,PSNR -29.551dB

102x160pixelsimage,processingtime -3.7sec







Original (left), blurred ``Lena" image, and visualization of the Visible Error Map (right).

MVE -0.54,PSNR -35.539dB 512x512pixelsimage,processingtime -13.7sec

 $All experiments were conducted at Pentium \\ -III-500128 MR am work station, with 17 inch display, under WinNT4.0. \\ Viewing distance \\ -60 cm.$

Black coloratright pictures corresponds to pixels, where colour thresholds, and white to pixels, where difference is greater than 3T colors and the pixels of the pixels

 $difference is less T \quad _{ij}, gray to pixels, where difference is between$

ij