FastMulti -ScaledTextureGenerationandRendering

AntonV.Pereberin

KeldyshInstituteofAppliedMathematicsRAS Moscow,Russia

Abstract

Themulti -scaledmodelforstochastictexturerepresentationand themethodprovidingreal -timerenderingoftextur esformalized bythismodelareintroduced.Both"abstract"and"natural -like" texturescanbegenerated.Beingmuchsimplerthenexisting stochastictexturemodelsitsatisfiestherequirementsofreal timetexturemapping:compactdatarepresentation,sc alability, randompixelaccess.Therenderingalgorithmissimpleenough tobeimplementedinhardware.

Keywords: stochastictextures,texture -mapping,multi -scaled representation,wavelettransform .

1. INTRODUCTION

Atleasttwoobjectsareusuallyreferred toastextures Firstisan ordinaryimageprocessedandstoredinawayconvenientfor mappingpurpose [6],e.g.imageofapalacefacadetobemapped to the corresponding geometry to create realistic 3D model of the building.Storingsuchatextureinexplicitformisexpensive(as hundredsoftexturesaretobestoredingraphicaldevicememory simultaneously)sotheimageistobecompressed.Texture compressionmethodshavetosatisfysomespecialrequirements. Inparticula r,thedecompressionalgorithmistobeasmuch simpleandfastaspossibleandsuitableforimplementationin hardware. Then it must provide random accessor local reconstruction, i.e. the ability to evaluate an arbitrary pixel of theimagewithoutrecons tructionofthewholeobject.Moreover,a textureistoberepresentedinawayconvenientformappingon different resolution levels. This is usually achieved by mipmapping, i.e. storing the sequence of 1:2, 1:4, 1:8, etc., scaled copiesinadditiontot heinitialimage.

Anotherobjectistextureinitsinitialmeaning,i.e.textureof material(wood,paper,marble,textile,etc.),textureofsandy,or water,orgroundsurfaces,textureofleatherandsoon.Also different"abstract"patternscanbetreat edastextures.

Suchtexturesareusuallyprocessedinthefollowingway.Given arelativelysmallsampleofatexture,itistobespreaderover anydesiredsize.Theeasiestwayissimpletilingoftheinitial sample,butthisproducespoorresultastil ingleadstoperiodic effectthatlooksunnatural.

Thereexistseveral *stochasticmodels* [1][3][4]torepresentsuch textures.Allofthemarebasedonthehypothesisth attextures canbeformalizedasprobabilisticdistributions.Atexturesample isasamplefromsuchadistribution.Itshouldbeanalyzedin attempttocapturethedistribution.Ifdistributionisfound properly,theninitialsampleandimage,generateda ccordingto thedistribution,mustbeperceivedastwosamplesofthesame texture,thoughnotthesameimages. In [1][2]theiterationmethodisusedfortexturesynthesisand analysis:theinputs aretextureandrandomnoisesamples,they aresequentiallyconvertedtothetextureimageofdesiredsize. In [3]theLaplasianpyramidisbuildtoanalyzetexturesample, onthesynthesisphasethepyramidistransformedina way preservinghigh -resolutionfeatures(deterministiccomponent) andaffectinglow -resolutionfeatures(probabilisticcomponent). In [4]texturesaremodeledasMarkovRandomFields.

Theideatorepresentatexturewithasma llobjectcontainingall theinformationnecessaryforgenerationlooksattractive,asthis representationissufficientlycompact.Moreover,thesizeofsuch arepresentationdoesn'tdependonsizeoftheoutput. Unfortunately,generationtexturesfromsa mplesisnotsuitable forreal -timeapplications.Allthetechniquesmentionedabove requiresufficientlycomplicatedandtime -consuming calculations.Thusifreal -timetexture -mappingisrequired,the imageofthedesiredsizeistobegenerated *before* therendering phaseandthenstoredusingtexturecompressiontechniques whichdonottakeintoaccountthespecialstructureoftheimage.

Ourtaskwastofindamodelfortexturerepresentation, which is probably not sopowerful as existing models are, but satisfying therequirements of real -time texture mapping, mentioned above.

Firstamethodforfastcreationofnewartificialtextureswas developed. Theideawastotakesometrivialimage(base element)composedbyauserinaminutebymeansofsimple graphiceditorandtogeneratenewimagefromrandomly scatteredscaledandrotatedcopiesofbaseelement.

Thenextstepwastomodifyamodelinawayprovidingrealistic approximation of some natural textures.

Onthethirdstepthecompacttexturedata representationandfast renderingalgorithmwasdeveloped.

2 Theremainderofthepaperisorganized as follows. Section contains the detailed description of the texture representationmodel.Someexamplesandresultsareintr oducedinSection 3.In Section 4theproposedmodeliscompared with wavelet transformofimages.Section 5introducesLayerControlMasks, theeffectiverenderingtech nique.InSection 6some implementationdetailsandestimationofcalculationcomplexity 7 anddatasizearealsodiscussed.TheconcludingSection containssomeideasonmodelenhancementandthep roposalfor furtherresearch.

2. THEMODELDESCRIPTIO N

Asitwasmentionedabove,theideabehindthemodelwasto composeanobjectfromrandomlyscatteredscaledandrotated copiesofsomesmallandsimpletrivialimage(*baseelement*).In practice,however, notallthepossiblescalesandrotationsof baseelementareused; the place the copy of baseelement can be dropped to is not absolutely randomals o.

2.1 Replications

The *replication*isonecopy(maybescaledandtransformedas describedbelow)ofbaseelem enttobeplacedtooutputimage. Thepointofoutputimageiscalled *replicationpoint* ifithas non-zeroprobabilitytobetheoriginofoneofthereplications.

Assume then that the base element is a square bit map with side

size $N = 2^K$ pix els. Then the scaled versions of the elementare

alsosquaredbitmapswithsidesize 2^k , $k = \overline{1, K}$. Index *k* is called *resolutionlevel* or *resolution*.

Elementscanbereplicatedwithshiftequalstoonehalfoftheir sidesize.Thatmeansthatonthe resolutionlevel

sidesize. That means that on the resolution level k, which corresponds to images idesize 2^k , the replication points are $(2^{k-1}i, 2^{k-1}j)$, $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Ineachreplicationpointthefollowing eventscantakeplace:

- Baseelementcanbereplicated(*positivereplication*),or negativeofthebaseelementcanbereplicated(*negative replication*),orbaseelementcanbenotreplicatedatall(*no replication*).
- Baseelementcanbe *rotated*t090 °,180 °and270 °,ornot rotated,(i.e.rotatedt00 °).
- Baseelementcanbe *mirrored*ornotmir rored.

Fortheparticular model one can specify the probability of each of these events.

2.2 ComposingImagefromReplications

Weassumethatbaseelementscanhavepixelswithbothpositive andnegativeintensity.Baseelementbackgroundhaszero intensitya ndisconsideredtobe"transparent".

Attheinitialsteptheoutputimageistherectangularofdesired sizewithzerointensity.

Thenreplicationsofbaseelementareplacedtotheoutputimage. Theelementcanbesimplyadded,butotheroperationsarea available.

Assume that *a* is the current intensity value of some pixel of output image, *b* is the pixel value of a replication which is to update *a* and \tilde{a} is the update d intensity of the pixel. Then the following operations are available e:

simpleaddition

$$\tilde{a} = a + b$$
.

non-zeroapplication

$$\widetilde{a} = \begin{cases} a, b = 0 \\ b, b \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

• "maximum" application

$$\widetilde{a} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a, & |a| \ge |b| \\ b, & |a| < |b| \end{array} \right.$$

The two latter operations are not linear, and not commutative, i.e. their result is depended on the order of replication. This feature can be used to control "transparency" of replications.

The probability of choice of one of these operations for each replication can be also specified.

Replicationsofequalresolutionform *layers* of output image. One *weightcoefficient* can be assig ned to each layer. In this case all the replications of the layer are to be multiplied by the corresponding coefficient. This controls the contrast of the layer and consequently the layer significance in output image. Note that the terms *resolution level* and *layer* are closely connected with each other, but the are not equivalent (see Section 2.4 below).

Theorderofreplicationcanbedifferent.Oneoftheeasiestways is *layer-to-layer* order.Itispossibletospecify whether omove fromtopleveltobottomorviceversa.

Thefinalstepofthegenerationisaddingsome"background" intensityvaluetoeachpixelofanimage.

2.3 ModelParametersSpecification

Tocreateaparticularmodelonehastospecifynumberoflayers andtable sofprobabilistic distribution of eventstaking place in replication points of each level (*eventtables*).

Inthesimplestcaseeventtablehasonlyonecell.Itmeansthat theonlydistributionisusedforallthereplicationpointsofa layer.Thepolar situationiswhentheparticulardistributionis specifiedforeachreplicationpoint,butthisseemstohaveno sense.Usuallyeventtabledeterminestheeventprobabilitiesfor agroupofseveralneighbourreplicationpoints.

Astothebaseelementitca nbeeitherincludedornotincluded inmodelspecification.Thelattercasemeansthatthemodelwas intendedtobeusedwithdifferentbaseelements.

Suchparametersasbackgroundintensityofthewholeimage, weightcoefficientsforeachlayerandorder ofgeneration(top bottomorbottom -top)aretobespecifiedalso.

2.4 Scaling

1so

Theproposed model provides the easy way to generate 1:2,1:4, 1:8, etc., scaled copies of textures. Indeed, by default the top layer(layer0) corresponds to resolution K of base element, layer 1 corresponds to resolution (K-1), etc. If to shift this correspondence (e.g. layer0 to resolution (K-1), layer1 to resolution (K-2), etc.) than scaled (reduced) version of the texture will be g enerated. It is also possible to magnify the texture (e.g. layer0 corresponds to resolution (K+1)), but in this case the base element is to be stretched to resolution shigher than K.

3. EXAMPLES

RandomRotationModel

The simplest model is call ed "random rotation". The 2×2 event table consists of two staggered zero entries (which corresponds to "noreplication" with probability 1), the two remained entries are the same and specify the uniform distribution of rotation angle (Tab. 1). The table is the same for any layer. The number of layers can be different but usually is 3 or 4. Weight coefficients can be different also but in the simplest case the yall are equal to 1.

Thebaseelementisnotspecified. Originallythisverymodelwas usedtogeneratenewtextures.Sothemodelexceptthebase elementwaspre -definedforausercouldcomposenewbase elementsforit.

Insteadof"negativeapplication"thefollowingpre -processingof baseelementswasusedf orthismodel:thebaseelementwas summarizedwithitsmirroredandinvertedcopy.Themeanof suchapre -processedelementisalways0.

Examplesoftextures,generatedby"randomrotation"modelare shownon Fig. 1(correspondin gbaseelementsareplacedtotop leftcornerofeachimage,theleftmosttextureispresentedintwo scales).

Cheese

The "cheese" is a simple example of natural texture approximation. The more or less realistic model of cheese is just an umber of holess cattered along a plane. Holes can be of different size, their shape and orient ation are arbitrary. There must not be too many holes, so the probability of a hole replication must not be very high. We simplified this model by using the only shape for all the holes (Fig. 2, left) and only two scales. Never the less, the result looks like a piece of cheese (Fig. 2, right).

BrickWall

Thebrickwallappearedtobeaninterestingexample. The "ideal"brickwallis aregularstructure.andcanberepresented easily.Thetaskwastoaddsomesortofirregularitytomakethe resultlookmorenatural.The"halfabrick"imagewasusedas thebaseelement(Fig. 3,left).Intheidealcaseeach two elementsinanyrowaretobe180 °rotatedcopiesofeachother. and two neighbourrows are to be shifted copies of each other. Weaffectedthisorderbyaddingasmallprobabilityforthe elementtobeorientednotintheproperway.Oneofthepossib le distributionsisintroducedin Tab. 3. Theresultisshownon Fig. 3,center.The"realism" of the image can be increased by adding somesortofanoise(Fig. 3,right).This noiseisjustthevery baseelementreplicated with a low weight coefficient (0.2) on layers2and3accordingtosomeuniformdistribution(Tab. 2).

4. CONNECTIONWITHWAVE LET THEORY

Onecannoticethattheintroducedmodellookss imilartoimage waveletsynthesis [7](i.e.inversewavelettransform)andso called *randomwaveletexpansion*, introducedin [5]. Hereisthewell -knownformulaof2Ddyadicwavelet reconstruction:

$$I(x, y) = v\varphi(x, y) + \sum_{k=0}^{K} \sum_{i, j=-\infty}^{+\infty} w_{ij}^{(k)} \psi(2^{k} x - i, 2^{k} y - j)$$

Supposenowthatbaseelementisamotherwavelet $\psi(\bullet, \bullet)$ (asit wasmentionedabove, insome experiments base elements were pre-processedtosatisfyatleastoneattributeoftherealwavelet, i.e.tohavezeromean).Ins teadofwaveletcoefficient $w_{ii}^{(k)}$ weight coefficient $w^{(k)}$, which is one perresolution k,isused. Eachscaledandshiftedcopyof $\psi(\bullet, \bullet)$ istransformed(rotated, inverted,etc.,orsimplyvanished)bythefunc tional $\mathbf{W}[\bullet, \xi]$. Thefunctionaliscontrolledbyrandomvariable E which distributiondepends, ingeneral, onlayer kandspacedisposition. The"low -resolution" partisex pressed by the mean intensity v. Thuswegetthefoll owingformula:

$$I(x, y) = v + \bigoplus_{k=0}^{K} \bigoplus_{i,j=-\infty}^{+\infty} w^{(k)} \mathbf{W} \Big[\psi(2^{k} x - i, 2^{k} y - j), \xi_{ij}^{(k)} \Big]$$

Symbols \bigoplus are used instead of \sum to show that operations similar but not identical to addition can be used. (Note that in general the choice of the operation is also controlled by random variable).

The main common feature of both formulasis that they represent an object $I(\bullet, \bullet)$ as a collection of scaled and shifted copies of some element $\psi(\bullet, \bullet)$. And, consequently, both expressions provides good scalability.

5. LAYERCONTROLMASK

Fortexturemappingpurposeitisdesirablenottogeneratea textureasthewholeimage, buttocalculatesmall patchesor evensinglepixelsofthetexture(localgeneration). The generationschemedescribedaboveisbadlysuitablefo rlocal generationasitusesrandom -numbergenerator.Indeed,allthe instancesofatexturegeneratedaccordingtosomemodelare samples from the same probabilistic distribution, but they are not thesameimage.Incaseoflocalgenerationdifferentpat chesor pixelsbelongtodifferentsamples, i.e. they do *not*belongtothe sameimages.Eventwoattemptstocalculateoneparticularpixel cangivetwodifferentresults.

Sotheproblemistofindatechniquethatguaranteesthatallthe locallygenerated patchesorpixelsbelongtothesameimage.

Oneofthepossiblesolutionsistocalculate the events in each replication point *before* the generation phases tarted and to store the results in special data structures.

Sinceintheproposedmodelthelayers aregenerated independentlyfromeachother,thestructurestoringpre - dimentionalarrays.Each arraycorrespondstoonelayer.Eachentryofanarray correspondstoonereplicationpointandcontainsacode describingreplicationeventinthispoint.Suchanarrayiscalled *layercontrolmask* (LCM).

Notethatonlyonebyteisenoughtocodeallthepossibleevents. Indeed,2bitsarenecessarytocodepositive/negative/none replication,2bitsforthefourpossible rotationangles,1bitfor mirroringand2bitstocodethereplicationoperation.Sevenbits total.

LCMsprovidesbothglobalandlocalgeneration.Global generationisperformedinnearlythesamewayasdescribed above,theonlydifferenceisthattheg eneratorusesprepared eventcodesinsteadofcomputingthem.Forlocalgenerationnot alltheentriesofLCMsareusedbutonlythosecorrespondedto specifiedspatialarea.

OnecanseethattheuseofLCMsnotonlysolvestheproblemof localgeneration butalsosplitsthegenerationprocessintotwo phases:(a)LCMgenerationand(b)texturerenderingusing preparedLCMs.Notethatonlythesecondphaseisessentialto bereal -time.TheLCMgenerationcontainsthemainpartof necessarycalculations.Act uallythesecalculationsarenotvery complicated in existing model, but if the model is enhanced (seeSection 7.1)thecalculationswillbecomemoresophisticatedand time-consuming.Moreover,thedescriptionofthemodel(and hencetheinputdatarepresentation)canbemorecomplicated also.NeverthelesstheincreaseofthecomplexityoftheLCD generationitisnotcriticalasthisphaseisperformed independentlyfromrenderingandcannotaffectthespeedofthe latter.The renderingphaseusessimplenon -intelligence algorithmandprimitiveinputdataformat(2Darraysofbytes). Soitcanbeimplementedinhardwareandperformedveryfast.

ThedisadvantageofLCMsisthattheyareoffinitesize.IfnottouseLCMsthanitispossibletogeneratethetextureofanydesiredsizeavoidingperiodiceffect.Besides,thesizeofinputdata(thedistributiondescription)doesn'tdependonoutputimagesize.IfLCMsareused,theoutputimagecanbeofanysizealso.ButifsizeofLCMsisnotenoughtocoverthesizeoftheoutputimagetheyaretobetiledandthissoonerorlaterwillleadtoperiodiceffect.ThelargertheLCMsarethelessappreciabletheperiodiceffectis.ButthelargeLCMsaffectthecompactnessoftexturerepresentation.Somecompromisebetweenoutputimagequalityanddatasizeistobefound.

 $\label{eq:sufficiently} FirstnotethatevennotverylargeLCMscanguarantee sufficientlylargeoutputimagewithoutperiodiceffect.Indeed, if themodelconsists of the onlylayer and the base elements is 32 \times 32 pixels than the LCM of 64 \times 64 entries provides the generation of an image of size 1024 \times 1024 pixels without tiling (remind that the distance between two replication points is one half of base elements idesize, hence 64(32/2) = 1024).$

Itseemsthatthesmallerthesizeofbaseelementisthelargerthe sizeofLCMmustbe.E.g., if the base elements ize is only 16×16 pixelsthentogenerate 1024×1024 outputima ge withouttilingthesizeofLCMmustbe 128×128 .However,if the model consists of at least two layers there is usually nonecessitytomakealltheLCMscovertheoutputimagesize.E.g., themodelconsistsof2layers(0and1),thebasee lementsize correspondedtolayer0is 32×32 . Then the base elements ize onlayer1is 16×16 pixels.Nowassumethatthesizeofboth **LCMsis** 64×64 and the desired size of the output is 1024×1024 pixe ls.Thoughtilingpresentsinlayer1butwhen unitedwithlayer0, which is free of tiling, then periodic effect is

hardlyperceptible.Sometimesevenbetterresultscanbe achievedifLCMssizesarenotmultipliesofeachother,arenot multipliesofpow eroftwoandmaybenotsquareatall.E.g.,size oflayer0LCMis 50×60 ,sizeoflayer1LCMis 75×45 .Itis obviousthattheperiodoftheoutputismuchlargerthanthe periodofanyoftheseparatelayers.

Otherwaysofp eriodiceffectdecaywithoutconsiderable increaseofrepresentationdatasizearealsoavailable.

6. DATAREPRESENTATION AND IMPLEMENTATIONNOTES

 $\label{eq:states} As it was mentioned above, the use of LCM spermits to divide the generation process into two phases.$

Theimpleme ntationdetailsofthefirstphase,theLCM generation,arenotdiscussedhere.Theonlythingcanbe mentionedisthatsincetheprobabilisticdistributionisspecified independentlyforeachlayer,thecalculationofLCMscanbe parallelizedeasily.

Now consider the texture representation after LCM generation. Obviously it must be both compact and easily interpreted.

TherepresentationofLCMswasdiscussedinSection 5.

Letusconsiderthe"brickwall"representationforexample. The modelconsistsof3layers:0,2and 3. Thesize of layer 0 LCM is 40×40 , size of the other (it is the same for both remained 20×20 . Thesize of the base element is lavers)is 32×32 pixels, its 8×8 and 4×4 pixels copies are to be stored also (the explicitrepresentationisconsidered).Hencetheresultis $40^2 + 20^2 + 32^2 + 8^2 + 4^2 = 3104$ bytesplusatmost20bytesfor additionalinformation(includingweightcoefficients,generation orderflag, etc.). This data is enoughtog eneratetexturewith period 640×640 pixels. The8 -bitbitmapofthesamesize occupies409600byteswhichisapproximately130timeslarger thantheproposed representation. Even if to add missed resolutionlevelsofthebaseelement(16×16 and 2×2 pixels) and to use different LCMs for layers 2 and 3 than the size of therepresentationwillnotexceed3800byteswhichis approximately107timessmallerthanthewholeimagesize.

Therepresentationcanbeevenmo recompactifsome compressionmethodsareappliedtoit.Oneofthepossible approachistocodetheregularstructureofzeros("no replication"events)inLCMs.E.g.,LCMsgeneratedaccordingto eventtables Tab. 1or Tab. 3.havemanyregularlystructured zeroentries,thustheycanbecodedinawaywhichcanreduce thesizeofLCMrepresentationapproximatelytwiceoreven more.Moreover,suchkindacompressioncanhardlyaffectthe renderingspeed. Sometechniquesoffastcompressionand decompressioncanbeappliedtobaseelementsalso.

Nowletuspayattentiontorenderingphaseanddiscuss the evaluation of one separate pixel of a texture.

Sincealmostallthedataisstoredin2Darraysofbyte s,accessto anyentryofanyLCMandanypixelofanyresolutionlevelof baseelementistrivial.(However,ifthebaseelementis representedbyitsHaartransform,thenadditionalcalculations arerequiredtogetitspixels).Givenpointcoordinates,t he renderingmodulecaneasilyfindentriesofLCMscorresponded tospecifiedpoint.Then,accordingtoeventcodes,foreach replicationcoveringthepointithastoevaluatecorresponding pixelvalue.Foralmostalltheeventsthisevaluationconsistsju st infindingnecessarypixelinoneofthescaledcopiesofbase element,andonlyfor"negativereplication"thesignofthevalue istobechangedthen.

Forthesakeofsimplicityassumenowthatsimpleadditiononly isusedforgeneration.Accordingto themodeldefinition, inany pointatmostfourreplicationofthesamelayercanmeet.So,at most3additionsperlayeraretobeperformed.Thenobtained resultistobemultipliedbyweightcoefficient(1multiplication). Thenvaluesofallthe N layersaretobesummarized((N-1)additions)andmeanintensityistobeaddedalso(1addition). Thusthenumberofoperations(excludingthesearchof replicationspixels)torenderonepixelof N-layeredtexture doesn'texceed4 N additions(orsimilaroperations)and N multiplication.

AswellasfortheLCMgenerationsufficientportionof calculationsareperformedindependentlyforeachlayer,soitcan beparallelized.Note,however,thatforparallelcomputations scaledcopiesofbase elementaretobestoredinexplicitform ratherthanevaluatedfromtransformedrepresentation.

7. CONCLUSION.FURTHER WORK

Themodelforrepresentationofboth"abstract"andsome "natural-like"scalabletextureshasbeenintroduced.Itwas suppliedwithef fectivegenerationandrenderingtechnique.By meansofthistechniquethemostcomplicatedcalculationswere encapsulatedintothepre -processingphase.Thispermitsto performrenderingphaseveryfastandeventoimplementitin hardware.Thealgorithm providespixel -wiserendering,whichis veryimportantfortexture -mappingpurposes.Therepresentation oftextureissufficientlycompact(3 -10Kb),thusalargenumber oftexturescanbestoredingraphicaldevicememory.

Obviously, the model is not free of limitations. Sufficiently large class of objects can hardly be represented by existing variant. So the one of the tasks for further researchisen hancement of the model which will enlarge the class of textures can be represented.

Anotherdirectionoff urtherworkisdevelopmentof *texture analysismodule* andisactuallyanewresearchproject.

7.1 ModelEnhancement

Sometrivialenhancementsofthemodelcanmakeitmore flexible.The"poweroftwo"restrictionsonbaseelementsize, resolutionlevelconstru ctionandreplicationshiftscanbe weakened.Ontheotherhandthismaydemandadditionaldata formodelrepresentationandalsomayaffectthescalabilityofthe output.

Inexisting model the probabilistic distribution of events is specified independent 1 y for each layer. The opportunity to specify the distribution for neighbour replications on different layers can be added. But this can require synchronization between layers, which can make the LCM structures more complicated.

Someothermodificationsof thiskindcanbemadealso.

Themoreseriousenhancementisimplementationofmore sophisticatedstochasticmodels,modelsusingconditional distribution, e.g., Random Markov Fields [4].

Notethatthemoresophisticatedmodeli sused,themore complexcalculationsarerequired.Butthiswillconcernonlythe phaseofLCMcalculation,andthisphaseisnothardware implementedandmustnotbereal -time.Astotherendering phase,itwillworkwiththesame(ormaybeslightdiffe rent) LCMstructures,andthuswillbeassimpleandfastasitisnow.

7.2 AnalysisModule

Weassumethatthestructureofwiderangeoftexturescanbe approximatelyrepresentedinawaysimilartooneproposedin thispaper,i.e.asonormaybemore"basee lements"andsimple datastructurescontrollingtheirreplication.

Oneofthepossibleapproachesistousedifferentmodifications ofwavelettransformtocapturesuchastructure.Wavelet transformisapowerfultoolforspace -frequencyanalysisandthe useofhierarchical,multiresolutionorwavelet -basedmethodsfor textureanalysisisnotnew [1][3][5].Moreover,theexisting modelhasmanyfeaturescommonwithdyadi c2Dwavelet transform,andtheuseofsimilarmethodsforbothsynthesisand analysisseemstobepromising.

8. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was made as a part of research program performed in accordance with the Research Agreement between Moscow State University and Intel Technologies, Inc.

9. REFERENCES

- [1] D.J.HeegerandJ.R.Bergen.Pyramid -BasedTexture Analysis/Synthesis. *ProceedingsofSIGGRAPH'95*, pp. 229-238,1995.
- [2] T.F.El -Maraghi.AnimplementationofHeegerand Bergen'sTextureAnalysis/SynthesisAlgori thm,1997. http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~tem/2522/texture.html
- [3] J.S.DeBonet.MultiresolutionSamplingProcedurefor AnalysisandSynthesisofTextureImages. *Proceedingsof SIGGRAPH*'97,pp.362 -368,1997. <u>http://www.ai.mit.edu/~jsd/Research/TextureSynthesis/</u>

- [4] A.A.EfrosandT.K.Leung.TextureSynthesisbyNon ParametricSampling. *IEEEInternationalConferenceon ComputerVisi on*, Corfu,Greece,Sept.1999.
- [5] D.MumfordandB.Gidas.StochasticModelsforGeneric Images,2000. <u>http://www.dam.brown.edu/people/mumford/Papers/Generic5.pdf</u>
- [6] A.V.Pereberin.Hiera rchicalApproachforTexture Compression. *ProceedingsofGraphiCon'99*, pp. 195-199, 1999.
- [7] E.J.Stollnitz,T.D.DeroseandD.H.Salesin. Waveletsfor ComputerGraphics.TheoryandApplications .Morgan KaufmannPublishers,Inc.,SanFrancisco,California ,1996.

Abouttheauthor:

 $\label{eq:linear} Anton V. Pereberinis the postgraduate student of Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics. Interested in multiresolution methods of graphical information representation.$

E-mail:avpereb@newmail.ru



Tab. 2. The "simplenoise" model.

| Pos. repl. | 0.33 | | | |
|---------------|------|--|--|--|
| Norepl. | 0.33 | | | |
| Rot.0 ° | 0.25 | | | |
| Rot.90 ° | 0.25 | | | |
| Rot.180 ° | 0.25 | | | |
| Rot.270 ° | 0.25 | | | |
| Mirroring 0.5 | | | | |
| Addition | 1.0 | | | |

| Tab. 3. The"brickwall"model. | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Pos. 1.0 0° 0.8 90° 0.05 180° 0.15 Non-z. 1.0 | 0 | Pos. 1.0 0° 0.2 180° 0.8 Non-z. 1.0 | 0 | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 0 | Pos. 1.0 0° 0.75 90° 0.05 180° 0.2 Non-z. 1.0 | 0 | Pos. 1.0 0° 0.1 90° 0.02 180° 0.88 Non-z. 1.0 | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |



Fig. 1. Examples of "random rotation" model.



Fig. 2. "Cheese":baseelement andoutputimage.





Fig. 3. "Brickwall":baseelement,simpleoutputimage andadvancedoutputimage.