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Abstract

An automatic gender recognition algorithm based on machine learning methods is proposed. It consists of two stages: adaptive feature extraction and support vector machine classification. Both training technique of the proposed algorithm and experimental results acquired on a large image dataset are presented.
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1. INTRODUCTION

This research is devoted to the development of an automatic system capable to distinguish people’s gender by analyzing their faces on digital images. Such systems can find application in different fields, such as robotics, human-computer interaction, demographic data collection, video surveillance, online audience measurement for digital signage networks and many others. In addition, gender recognition can be applied as a preprocessing step for face recognition. Machine learning techniques used in gender classification have universal character which allows to apply the solutions and knowledge in gender recognition to any other image understanding or object classification task.

There are comprehensive surveys written on face detection [5], face recognition [13] and facial expression analysis [1]. Gender recognition has been studied less. The comparative analysis of lately proposed gender classification algorithms has been presented in paper [7]. The researches have proposed algorithms based on artificial neural networks, video surveillance, online audience measurement for digital signage networks and many others. In addition, gender recognition can be applied as a preprocessing step for face recognition. Machine learning techniques used in gender classification have universal character which allows to apply the solutions and knowledge in gender recognition to any other image understanding or object classification task.

There are comprehensive surveys written on face detection [5], face recognition [13] and facial expression analysis [1]. Gender recognition has been studied less. The comparative analysis of lately proposed gender classification algorithms has been presented in paper [7]. The researches have proposed algorithms based on artificial neural networks, video surveillance, online audience measurement for digital signage networks and many others. In addition, gender recognition can be applied as a preprocessing step for face recognition. Machine learning techniques used in gender classification have universal character which allows to apply the solutions and knowledge in gender recognition to any other image understanding or object classification task.

There are comprehensive surveys written on face detection [5], face recognition [13] and facial expression analysis [1]. Gender recognition has been studied less. The comparative analysis of lately proposed gender classification algorithms has been presented in paper [7]. The researches have proposed algorithms based on artificial neural networks, video surveillance, online audience measurement for digital signage networks and many others. In addition, gender recognition can be applied as a preprocessing step for face recognition. Machine learning techniques used in gender classification have universal character which allows to apply the solutions and knowledge in gender recognition to any other image understanding or object classification task.

There are comprehensive surveys written on face detection [5], face recognition [13] and facial expression analysis [1]. Gender recognition has been studied less. The comparative analysis of lately proposed gender classification algorithms has been presented in paper [7]. The researches have proposed algorithms based on artificial neural networks, video surveillance, online audience measurement for digital signage networks and many others. In addition, gender recognition can be applied as a preprocessing step for face recognition. Machine learning techniques used in gender classification have universal character which allows to apply the solutions and knowledge in gender recognition to any other image understanding or object classification task.

2. THE PROPOSED ALGORITHM

Classifier is based on Adaptive Features and SVM (AF-SVM). Its operation includes several stages, as shown in fig. 1.

AF-SVM algorithm consists of the following steps: color space transform, image scaling, adaptive feature set calculation and SVM classification with preliminary kernel transformation. Input image is converted from RGB to HSV color space and is scaled to fixed image resolution \( N \times N \). After that we calculate a set of features \( \{AF_{RGB}\} \), where each feature represents the sum of all rows and columns of element-by-element matrix product of an input image and a coefficient matrix \( C_i^{RGB} \) with resolution \( N \times N \), which is generated by the training procedure:

\[
AF_{RGB}^{i} = \sum_{N} A_{RGB}^{i} \times C_{i}^{RGB}.
\]

The obtained feature vector is transformed using a Gaussian radial basis function kernel:

\[
k(z, z) = C \exp \left( - \frac{||z - z||^2}{\sigma^2} \right)
\]

Kernel function parameters \( C \) and \( \sigma \) are defined during training.

The resulted feature vector serves as an input to linear SVM classification.
classifier which decision rule is:

\[ f(AF) = \text{sgn} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i \alpha_i k(X_i, AF) + b \right) \]

The set of support vectors \( \{ X_i \} \), the sets of coefficients \( \{ y_i \} \), \( \{ \alpha_i \} \) and the bias \( b \) are obtained at the stage of classifier training.

3. TRAINING AND TESTING SETUP

Both gender recognition algorithm training and testing require huge enough color image database. The most commonly used image database for human faces recognition is the FERET database [8], but it contains insufficient number of faces of different individuals, that’s why we collected our own image database, gathered from different sources (Table 1).

Faces on the images from the proposed database were detected automatically by AdaBoost face detection algorithm. After that false detections were manually removed, and the resulted dataset consisting 10 500 image fragments (5 250 for each class) was obtained. This dataset was split into three independent image sets: training, validation and testing. Training set was utilized for feature generation and SVM classifier construction. Validation set was required in order to avoid the effect of overtraining during the selection of optimal parameters for the kernel function. Performance evaluation of the trained classifier was carried out with the use of the testing set.

The training procedure of the proposed AF-SVM classifier can be split into two independent parts: feature generation, SVM construction and optimization. Let’s consider the feature generation procedure. It consists of the following basic steps:
- RGB → HSV color space transform of the training images (all further operations are carried out for each color component independently);
- scaling training images to fixed image resolution \( N \times N \);
- coefficient matrix \( C_{\text{HSV}} \) random generation;
- feature value \( A_{F}^{\text{HSV}} \) calculation for each training fragment;
- the utility function \( F \) calculation as a square of a difference between feature averages, calculated for “male” and “female” training image datasets, divided by the sum of feature variances [4]:

\[ F = \frac{\left( \left( \frac{m_{F}}{\sigma_{F}} \right)_{\text{male}} - \left( \frac{m_{F}}{\sigma_{F}} \right)_{\text{female}} \right)^{2}}{\left( \frac{m_{F}}{\sigma_{F}} \right)_{\text{male}} + \left( \frac{m_{F}}{\sigma_{F}} \right)_{\text{female}}} ; \]

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The total number of images</td>
<td>8 654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of male faces</td>
<td>5 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of female faces</td>
<td>5 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum image resolution</td>
<td>640x480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color space format</td>
<td>RGB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face position</td>
<td>Frontal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People’s age</td>
<td>From 18 to 65 years old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting conditions, background and facial expression</td>
<td>No restrictions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

iteratively in a cycle (until the number of iterations exceeds some preliminary fixed maximum value): random generation of coefficient matrix \( E_{i}^{\text{HSV}} \) inside the fixed neighborhood of matrix \( E_{i}^{\text{HSV}} \), feature value \( A_{F}^{\text{HSV}} \) calculation for each training fragment, calculation of the utility function \( F \), transition to a new point \( F \rightarrow \tilde{F}, C \rightarrow \tilde{C} \), if \( \tilde{F} > F \);

- saving the matrix \( C_{\text{HSV}} \) after exceeding the maximum number of iterations;
- return to beginning in order to start the generation of the next \( i+1 \) feature.

An optimization procedure, described above, allows to extract from an image only the information which is necessary for class separation. Besides, features with higher utility function value have higher separation ability. The feature generation procedure have the following adjusted parameters: training fragments resolution \( N \), the number of training images for each class \( M \), maximum number of iterations \( T \). The following values, as a compromise between reached separation ability and the training speed, were empirically received:

\[ N = 65; \quad M = 400; \quad T = 10^{3} . \]

1000 features have been generated for each color component. At the second stage of training these features have been extracted from training images and were then used to learn an SVM classifier. SVM construction and optimization procedure included the following steps:
- calculation of the feature set, generated on the first stage of training, for each training fragment;
- feature normalization;
- learning an SVM classifier with different parameters of the kernel function;
- recognition rate (RR) calculation using validation image dataset;
- determination of optimal kernel function parameters (maximizing RR);
- learning a final SVM classifier with the found optimal kernel function parameters.

The goal of SVM optimization procedure is to find a solution with the best generalization ability, and thus with the minimum classification error. The adjusted parameters are: the number of features in a feature vector \( N2 \), the number of training images for each class \( M2 \), the kernel function parameters \( \sigma \) and \( C \).

Grid search was applied to determine optimal kernel parameters: SVM classifier was constructed varying \( C \in 10^{4} \) and \( \sigma \in 10^{2} \), where \( k1 \) and \( k2 \) – all combinations of integers from the range \([-15 \ldots 15]\); during the search recognition rate was measured using validation image dataset. Maximum recognition rate (about 80%) was obtained for \( C = 10^{4} \) and \( \sigma = 10^{2} \).

Besides, we investigated the dependence of classifier performance from the number of features extracted from each color component – \( N2 \), and from the number of training images for each class – \( M2 \).

The analysis shows that each feature has essential separation ability, and at \( N2 = 30 \) recognition rate reaches 79.5%. At the same time the growth of RR is observed both with the growth of
N2 and M2 due to the accumulation of information about considered classes inside the classifier. Thus, to obtain a compromise between quality and speed the following parameters were chosen: \(N 2 = 30\); \(M 2 = 400\).

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section we present the results of the proposed AF-SVM algorithm comparison with state-of-the-art classifiers: SVM and KDDA (Kernel Direct Discriminant Analysis) [2].

Classifier AF-SVM was trained according to a technique, given above. SVM and KDDA classifiers have far less adjustable parameters as they are working directly with image pixel values instead of feature vectors. To construct these classifiers the same training base, as for AF-SVM classifier, was used. The following conditions also were identical for all three considered classifiers: the number of training images for each class, training fragments resolution and image preprocessing procedure. Optimization of SVM and KDDA kernel function parameters was held using the same technique and the same validation image dataset as used in case of AF-SVM classifier. Thus, equal conditions for independent comparison of considered classification algorithms, using testing image dataset, were provided.

For the representation of classification results we utilized the Receiver Operator Characteristic (ROC-curve). As there are two classes, one of them is considered to be a positive decision and the other – a negative. ROC-curve is created by plotting the fraction of true positives out of the positives (TPR = true positive rate) vs. the fraction of false positives out of the negatives (FPR = false positive rate), at various discrimination threshold settings. The advantage of ROC-curve representation lies in its invariance to the relation between the first and the second error type’s costs.

The results of AF-SVM, SVM and KDDA testing are presented in fig. 2 and in table 2. The computations were held on a personal computer with the following configuration: operating system – Microsoft Windows 7; CPU type – Intel Core i7 (2 GHz) 4 cores; memory size – 6 Gb.

The analysis of testing results show that AF-SVM is the most effective algorithm considering both recognition rate and operational complexity. AF-SVM has the highest RR among all tested classifiers – 79.6% and is faster than SVM and KDDA approximately by 50%.

Such advantage is explained by the fact that AF-SVM algorithm utilize a small number of adaptive features, each of which carries a lot of information and is capable to separate classes, while SVM and KDDA classifiers work directly with a huge matrix of image pixel values.

Let’s consider the possibility of classifier performance improvement by the increase of the total number of training images per class from 400 to 5000. Experiments showed that SVM and KDDA recognition rates can’t be significantly improved in that case. Besides, their computational complexity increases dramatically with the growth of the training dataset. This is explained by the fact that while the number of pixels, which SVM and KDDA classifiers utilize to find an optimal solution in a high dimensional space, increases it becomes harder and even impossible to find an acceptable solution for the reasonable time.

In the case of AF-SVM classifier the problem of the decrease of SVM classifier efficiency with the growth of the training database can be solved by the use of the small number of adaptive features, holding information about a lot of training images at once. For this purpose we suggest that each feature should be trained using a random subset (containing 400 training images per class) from the whole training database (containing 5000 images per class).

Thus each generated feature will hold the maximum possible amount of information, required to divide the classes, and a set of features will include the information from each of the 10 000 training images.

On the stage of feature generation 300 features were trained according to the technique described above. After that an SVM classifier, utilizing these features, was trained similarly as before. Besides, we preserved the number of training images for SVM construction equal to 400, and thus the operation speed of the final classifier remained the same as in previous experiments – 65 faces processed per second.

The results of AF-SVM algorithm trained using expand dataset \((M = 5000)\) and the initial AF-SVM classifier \((M = 400)\) comparison are presented in table 3 and in fig. 3.

The results show that AF-SVM algorithm together with the proposed training setup allow to significantly improve the

![Fig. 2: ROC-curves of tested gender recognition algorithms.](image-url)
classifier performance in case of increasing the training database size to 5000 images per class. Recognition rate of nearly 91% is achieved. It should be also noted that the adaptive nature of the feature generation procedure allows using the proposed AF-SVM classifier for the recognition of any other object on an image (in addition to faces).

5. CONCLUSION

A new classifier based on adaptive features and support vector machines, solving the problem of automatic gender recognition via face area analysis, is proposed. It shows recognition rate of 79.6%, which is 1.9% and 9.9% higher than that of SVM and KDDA correspondingly. The possibility of AF-SVM recognition rate improvement up to 91% in case of increasing the training database size to 5000 images per class is shown. The proposed algorithm allows to process 65 faces per second which is enough to use it in real time video sequence analysis applications.
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